

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/645,949	HUMLICEK, DONALD R.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Denise Tran	2186

All Participants:

(1) Denise Tran.

Status of Application: amendment after final

(3) _____.

(2) Christopher Maiorana (Reg. No. 42,829).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 August 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 12-15, and 23-24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

the applicant's representative, Mr. Maiorana agreed to amend the claims as recited in the examiner's amendment to clarify the claimed language.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)